

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMINSO ONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.utpin.gg/

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/692,949	10/20/2000	Olivier K. Swedor	61473/0270144	6427	
2.0.0	34845 7590 01/03/2007 McGUINNESS & MANARAS LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
125 NAGOG PA	ARK	•	REILLY, SEAN M	SEAN M	
ACTON, MA 01720			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MON	NTHS :	01/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/692,949	SWEDOR ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sean Reilly	2153				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status							
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 O</u>	ctober 2006					
2a) □		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
5	·	,, pano 4 aay (6) 1					
· _	on of Claims	•					
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43 and 45-50</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32 and 45-50</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>33-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•		•				
Attachment(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Paper No(s) Date of Informal Paper No(s) Date of Informal Paper No(s)					
	rademark Office	·					

Art Unit: 2153

3

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to Applicant's appeal brief filed on October 5, 2006.

PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. All claims are allowable over the prior art of

record however, claims 33-43 are directed non-statutory subject matter as discussed below.

Applicant is encouraged to contact the Examiner to discuss possible Examiner's amendments to

overcome this 101 rejection.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following

two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37

CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an

appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee

can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have

been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between

the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing

below:

Runal Dharia

RUPAL DHARIA

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 33-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

With regard to claims 33-43, these claims recite various modules that may be implemented solely in software and are thus software per se (see inter alia, Applicant's specification ¶ 31). As recited in the interim guidelines pg 53 "computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical 'things.' They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not 'acts' being performed" (Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" signed October 26th, 2005). No "acts" are performed because the "claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized." Thus, claims 33-43 are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant may overcome this rejection by embodying the claimed computer programs on a computer readable storage medium.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-43 and 45-50 are allowable in view of Applicant's arguments submitted in the appeal brief filed October 5, 2006, pages 10-14.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean Reilly whose telephone number is 571-272-4228. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 21, 2006